

The Effects of Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS) on Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells (HUVECs) Proliferation and Gene Expression and its Implications on Fetal Development

Alycia Ashby^{1*}, Patrick Murphy^{1*}, James Jukosky¹, Chery A. Whipple ^{1§}

¹Colby–Sawyer College, New London, New Hampshire, United States

[§]To whom correspondence should be addressed: chery.whipple@colby-sawyer.edu

^{*}These authors contributed equally.

Abstract

Polyfluoro-alkyl substances (PFAS) are widely distributed environmental contaminants linked to human toxicity and developmental delays, especially low birthweight (LBW). In this study, Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells (HUVECs) were exposed to the PFAS perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS). After 48-hours, their proliferation, and differential gene expression were assessed. A small, yet significant, reduction in proliferation was seen at 50 μ g/mL and 75 μ g/mL. RNA sequencing showed that estrogen response and notch signaling pathways were significantly altered. This study increases our understanding of how PFAS may interfere with endothelial cell (HUVECs) functions which may have larger effects on fetal growth, development, and birthweight.





Figure 1. Effect of HUVEC Exposure to PFOS on Cell Proliferation and Gene Expression:

(A) HUVECs were treated with PFOS treatments (Complete media, 0.6% DMSO, 25 µg/mL, 50 µg/mL, 75 µg/mL, and 100 µg/mL PFOS) along with the EdU thymine analog for 48 hours. Post treatment the Click-it EdU protocol was followed to measure the amount of fluorescence from the incorporated EdU thymine into the cell's DNA. The Click-it Edu experiment was conducted three independent times with five wells for each treatment group. In an ANOVA ($F_{5, 84} = 3.92$, p = 0.003) followed by a post-hoc Tukey test ($\alpha = 0.05$), PFOS had significant reduction in proliferation in the 50 µg/mL and 75 µg/mL compared to the control (complete media and DMSO). NS = not significant, * = p < 0.05, n=3.

(B) Heatmap of the top 20 differentially expressed genes by adjusted p value between media control and 50 µg/mL PFOS after 48 hours of exposure. (C) Average \log_2 fold change in the top 20 differentially expressed genes (by adjusted p value) in PFOS exposed HUVECs compared to control HUVECs. Differential expression was compared using limma-voom, and lowly expressed genes were removed. Contrasts measured in limma-voom were Control versus PFOS. Contrast testing was set to a minimum \log_2 fold change of 0.58 and a p-value adjusted threshold of 0.01, significance was tested relative to a fold-change threshold.

(D) GOseq pathway analysis displaying the top ten over-represented categories of differentially expressed genes in biological processes in HUVECs after 50 μ g/mL of PFOS for 48 hours

Description

Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoro-alkyl substances, also widely known as PFAS, are a class of chemicals that are distributed worldwide. PFAS have been produced by manufacturing companies to create various products such as water-resistant coatings for clothes, furniture, and cookware, as well as being used in firefighting foam (Jian et al., 2018; Sunderland et al., 2018; Bonato et al, 2020). PFAS have a long half-life contributing to their persistence in the environment and hazardous impact on humans. Human exposure has been linked to cardiovascular disease, thyroid dysfunction, immune dysfunction, and cancer (Fenton et al, 2020; Maddalon et al., 2023). PFAS have been seen to disrupt a wide range of cellular processes including alterations in oxidative stress, cellular metabolism, and prenatal development (Wielsøe et al, 2015; Bonato et al., 2020; Marin et al., 2024). A highly prevalent PFAS that humans are primarily exposed to is Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS) (Kang et al., 2021). PFOS, is characterized with a carbon fluorinated chain ending with a sulfonic acid group. In areas of high exposure, PFAS have been linked to the development of low birthweight (LBW) with studies showing an inverse relationship between PFOS exposure and LBW (Chen et al., 2012; Gundacker et al., 2022). LBW is a concern because it puts the baby at high risk for complications such as infection, breathing problems, digestive and nervous system abnormalities, and may lead to sudden infant death (Osuchukwu and Reed, 2024). Little research has been done to understand the mechanistic cause of these adverse birth defects in response to PFOS. Research has delineated potential causes, but supporting data are limited. According to one study the maternal serum concentration range of PFOS was 1.04–16.66 ng/ml, while the ranges for the placenta and various fetal tissue such as the liver, lung, heart, central nervous system, and adipose tissue was 0.45–3.87 ng/g and 0.19–12.61 ng/g respectively (Mamsen et al., 2019). However, environmental concentrations of PFOS exposure range vastly depending on the area. For example, a region in Veneto Italy found that people within the area had ranging concentration between 2.72 and 70.27 ng/g PFOS (Ingelido et al., 2018). Specific occupations and related work areas such as military sites, airports, wastewater treatment plants, and industrial facilities are prone to high exposure (Salvatore et al., 2018); PFOS in particular has been found in aquatic ecosystems in high population areas in the U.S. and Europe at a range of 97 ng/L to 1371 ng/L (Loos et al., 2009; Jarvis et al., 2021; and Zhang et al., 2016). At high contamination sites, such as U.S. Air Force bases, soil concentrations have been detected at levels as high as 460,000 µg/kg (Brusseau et al. 2020). These values are important given that PFOS is a forever chemical that bioaccumulates in the environment and in the body. While a biologically relevant dose is difficult to determine, it is known that interference of blood vessel development from the placenta to the developing embryo due to PFOS exposure could lead to less oxygen and nutrients being transferred thus potentiating LBW. In this study we wanted to understand how PFOS may be interfering with vascularization. One important tissue for supporting the developing fetus is the umbilical cord (Burton et al., 2015). To examine how PFOS is affecting this vasculature, Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells (HUVECs) were used as the model system. We examined how endothelial cells proliferation and differential gene expression (RNA-SEQ) were affected after exposure to PFOS. Our working concentrations of PFOS ranged from 25 ug/mL – 100 ug/mL which compares well with concentrations used in other *in-vitro* experiments (Midgett et al. 2014; Sonkar et al. 2019; and Zarei et al. 2018).

PFOS exposure showed a significant reduction in HUVEC proliferation (Figure 1A). Additionally, the experiment showed that the inhibition of proliferation leveled off as the concentration of PFAS increased. From this assay we observed a significant decrease in proliferation at 50 μ g/mL and 75 μ g/mL PFOS. Although we did not observe a significant decrease in proliferation at the highest concentration of PFOS; a similar study showed a dramatic effect on endothelial cell after PFOS exposure reporting a 60% reduction in cell counted when compared to their control (0.5% EtOH). However, this study exposed the endothelial cells to 72 μ g/mL for 7 days instead of the acute 48 hours of exposure our HUVECs experienced. Additionally,



their study utilized a 3D co-culture of HUVECs and fibroblasts to examine how PFOS affects angiogenic sprouting as seen by the number of tips and branches created. They saw a negative relationship with an increase concentration of PFOS reducing outgrowth (Forsthuber et al., 2022).

Given that the lowest concentration we observed significant changes in proliferation was at 50 µg/mL PFOS, this concentration was used for a 48-hour exposure, followed by extraction of RNA from control and exposed HUVECs, and RNA sequencing of the RNA isolated from the HUVECs. As seen in Figure 1 (B and C), 50 µg/mL PFOS had a significant effect altering the gene expression of HUVECs after 48 hours of exposure compared to control cells. This acute exposure demonstrated a largely down regulatory effect on gene expression. Analysis showed that there was a downregulation in EGR-1, HEY1, and SAT1 these are genes involved in cell proliferation and angiogenesis. However, some genes that promote proliferation were upregulated including CCND1, KITLG, and HECW2. Additionally, PFOS exposure can be seen to alter gene expression related to the cytoskeleton (SORB2, SYNM, PDLIM1), lymphatic development (LYVE1, PROX1), metabolism (ASNS, SAT1, PAPPA2), extracellular matrix (ADAMTS4, LRRC17, TLL1, MGP, CST1), cardiovascular development (HEY1, ANKRD1, TLL1) and lipid transport (ABCA6).

A GOseq analysis was done to examine what pathways this acute PFOS exposure had on HUVECs (Figure D). According to this analysis the top 10 pathways from exposure are all involved in development. An additional HALLMARK and KEGG pathway analyses were performed to provide insight into pathways that PFOS may be acting through to cause developmental changes. Similar pathways between each analysis were those involved with proliferation such as DNA replication and repair, E2F checkpoint, and G2M check point. These data indicate that PFOS are disrupting pathways relating to cellular proliferation which are similar to other studies delineating that PFOS induces cell cycle arrest at the G0/G1 checkpoint (Qu et al., 2021; Otero-Sabio et al., 2022). Pathways specific to KEGG indicated interference with metabolism specifically with alanine, aspartate and glutamate metabolism as well glycine, serine, and threonine metabolism. HALLMARK specific pathways suggest PFOS is disrupting estrogen response early, unfolded protein response, and TNFA signaling via NF-κB. Similar studies investigating the cellular effects of PFOS have implicated it in inducing oxidative stress, however our pathway analysis did not indicate a reactive oxygen species pathway or a change in characteristic genes such as Nrf-2, Gpx, Txn, or other antioxidant genes (Qian et al., 2010; Lee et al., 2012; Elumalai et al., 2023).

This study has shown that exposure of endothelial cells to 50 μ g/mL of PFOS elicits a significant change in proliferation. While this change was small, we detected significant modulations of the expression of genes in signaling pathways involved in cell cycle regulation and development. The ability for PFOS to disrupt endothelial cell proliferation may elicit a reduction in vascularization. Reduced vascularization is one indicator of placental insufficiency. Placental insufficiency is linked to many obstetric conditions, such as the reduction in fetal birthweight (Wardinger and Ambati, 2024). Future studies can further explore the implicated genes and downstream signaling pathways of PFOS from this study. For example, one pathway that may provide more insight into the proliferative and developmental effects of PFOS exposure is the estrogen response pathway indicated by the HALLMARK analysis. PFOS-estrogen interactions are well studied and thus far has had varying results on PFOS effects through estrogen receptors (ER). For example, some studies suggest that PFOS acts as an ER α agonist or has weak affinity to ER α (Du et al., 2012; Gao et al., 2012; Behr et al., 2018). One study suggests that PFOS does not act on ER α , instead their study suggests that PFOS interacts with ER β . Additionally, one study stated that PFOS interacts with ER β , but through ER β 's non-genomic pathway to reduce proliferation by inhibiting Erk1/2 activation (Xu et al., 2017; Qu et al., 2021). Estrogen receptors are important mediators of endothelial function, and both alpha and beta variants are present within vascular cells (Chakrabarti et al., 2014). If ER β is inhibiting Erk1/2 then it could explain the decreased expression of the EGR-1 transcription factor which is responsible for early-immediate gene expression involved with growth and development (Khachigian, 2021). Future studies could examine PFOS and ER interaction within HUVECs though western blot to determine the levels of these receptors within the cells as well as through an ER luciferase reporter to examine how PFOS influences their activity.

An additional pathway that PFOS may be interfering with is notch signaling as indicated by the decreased expression of HEY1 (Figure B). HEY1 is a transcription factor that is expressed upon notch activation. Notch is an important pathway for development as well as for angiogenesis (Akil et al., 2021). Studies have demonstrated that HEY1 expression is critical for embryonic development, especially cardiovascular development (Fischer et al., 2004; Watanabe et al., 2020). Additionally, notch plays an important role in angiogenesis dictating their role as stalk or tip cells during the process. Interference with this signaling causes a loss of their hierarchical organization inducing a failure for proper tube formation and junctional integrality (Mack and Iruela-Arispe, 2018). There have been studies demonstrating that other prevalent PFAS have varying degrees of influence on notch. For example, PFOA enhances notch signaling while PFBS, appears to have no interference with notch signaling (Marinello et al., 2020, Poteser et al., 2020). There are limited studies investigating PFOS' effect on notch signaling, however, research conducted by Zhao et al indicated that PFOS disrupts notch signaling in early development (2024). This encourages future studies to investigate notch activity and PFOS exposure.

This experiment largely looked at the effects of PFAS on the vascular cells that comprise the placenta. To gain more information on how PFAS may influence the placenta and thus fetal development, placenta cells could be used. The addition of conducting similar experiments on placental cells would provide complementary information alongside the HUVECs providing a bigger picture on the molecular level on how PFAS affects the placenta as a whole organ. It is important to note that we are not exposed only to a single PFAS, instead there is often a mixture of PFAS in human blood serum. In a study conducted in 2007 that examined serum samples from a National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, the four PFAS that were found among 98% of the samples was PFOS, PFOA, PFHxS, and PFNA (Calafat, et al., 2007). One study found that a mixture of PFOS and another prominent PFAS, PFOA had synergistic carcinogenic effects on Human Breast epithelial cells (Pierozan et al., 2023). Building off this experiment future studies could investigate how a combination of PFAS effect HUVECs to determine if there is a similar synergistic effect for LBW development.

Methods

Tissue Culture

HUVECs were incubated at 37C with 5% CO₂ and maintained in complete media (2% FBS plus growth factors: rh VEGF, rh EGF, rh FGF basic, rh IGF-1, L-glutamine, Heparin sulfate, Hydrocortisone hemisuccinate, Ascorbic acid, as well as penicillin and streptomycin) provided by ATCC (Manassas, Virginia).

Click-iT[™] EdU Cell Proliferation

HUVECs were plated at a density of 7,000 cells per well in the 96-well plate and exposed to range of PFOS concentrations (25 µg/mL, 50 µg/mL, 75 µg/mL, 100 µg/mL). This range is similar to other in-vitro experiments examining PFOS cellular effects (Midgett et al., 2015; Sonkar et al., 2019; Forsthuber et al., 2022). The proliferation was assayed in a 96-well plate using three independent Click-it EdU experiments with 5 replicates performed for each replicate. According to the protocol provided by Invitrogen, HUVECs will be exposed to the desired concentration gradient with the thymidine analog added to the experimental media for 48hrs. To activate the EdU fluorescence, HRP-azide was added to each well, this attaches to the thymidine analog. The signal is further amplified by an anti-Oregon Green® antibody conjugated to the horseradish peroxidase (HRP), which then reacts with Amplex® UltraRed and produces a red fluorescence data were analyzed through ANOVA followed by post-hoc Tukey test.

RNA Isolation and RNA Sequencing

HUVECs were seeded with 250,000 cells at 90% confluency in a 6-well plate in triplicate for each treatment. After 12 hours the cells media was replaced with 1 mL of the appropriate treatment DMSO control or 50 µg/mL PFOS. The cells were exposed for 48 hours. After 48 hours the cells had the treatments removed and were exposed to 2-Mercaptoethanol, lysis buffer and were scraped with a cell scraper and then pipetted into a Qia shedder. The solution then followed the RNeasy mini kit from Qiagen. The quantity and quality of RNA was determined using a Thermoficher Qubit fluorometer. The isolated RNA was sent to the University of New Hampshire's Hubbard Center for Genome Studies for library preparation and RNA sequencing. library preparation followed the KAPA mRNA HyperPrep kit using KAPA dual unique index along with Illumina Truseq adaptors. RNA sequencing was done using the Illuminia NovaSeq.

Bioinformatics analysis

All RNA-SEQ analysis was carried out on the Galaxy genomics platform (usegalaxy.org). Read quality was assessed using FastQC and reads less than 20 bp in length were filtered out. Reads were mapped to the reference human genome (hg38) using the HISAT program, counted using the featureCounts program, and subjected to further quality control checks using MultiQC. Counts from each sequencing file were joined and genes in the count matrix were annotated using the annotatemyIDs tool. Differential expression was compared using the limma-voom tool and lowly expressed genes were removed (minimum CPM = 0.5). Contrasts measured in limma-voom were Control versus PFAS and contrast testing was set to a minimum log_2 fold-change of 0.58 and a *p*-value adjusted threshold of 0.01, significance was tested relative to a fold-change threshold. Gene ontology testing was performed using goseq and ENSEMBL gene set enrichment analysis was conducted with EGSEA and FGSEA.

References

Akil A, Gutiérrez-García AK, Guenter R, Rose JB, Beck AW, Chen H, Ren B. 2021. Notch Signaling in Vascular Endothelial Cells, Angiogenesis, and Tumor Progression: An Update and Prospective. Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology 9: 10.3389/fcell.2021.642352. DOI: <u>10.3389/fcell.2021.642352</u>



Behr AC, Lichtenstein D, Braeuning A, Lampen A, Buhrke T. 2018. Perfluoroalkylated substances (PFAS) affect neither estrogen and androgen receptor activity nor steroidogenesis in human cells in vitro. Toxicology Letters 291: 51-60. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.toxlet.2018.03.029</u>

Bonato M, Corrà F, Bellio M, Guidolin L, Tallandini L, Irato P, Santovito G. 2020. PFAS Environmental Pollution and Antioxidant Responses: An Overview of the Impact on Human Field. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 17: 8020. DOI: <u>10.3390/ijerph17218020</u>

Brusseau ML, Anderson RH, Guo B. 2020. PFAS concentrations in soils: Background levels versus contaminated sites. Science of The Total Environment 740: 140017. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140017</u>

Burton GJ, Fowden AL. 2015. The placenta: a multifaceted, transient organ. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 370: 20140066. DOI: <u>10.1098/rstb.2014.0066</u>

Calafat AM, Wong LY, Kuklenyik Z, Reidy JA, Needham LL. 2007. Polyfluoroalkyl Chemicals in the U.S. Population: Data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 2003–2004 and Comparisons with NHANES 1999–2000. Environmental Health Perspectives 115: 1596-1602. DOI: <u>10.1289/ehp.10598</u>

Chakrabarti S, Morton JS, Davidge ST. 2014. Mechanisms of Estrogen Effects on the Endothelium: An Overview. Canadian Journal of Cardiology 30: 705-712. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.cjca.2013.08.006</u>

Chen MH, Ha EH, Wen TW, Su YN, Lien GW, Chen CY, Chen PC, Hsieh WS. 2012. Perfluorinated Compounds in Umbilical Cord Blood and Adverse Birth Outcomes. PLoS ONE 7: e42474. DOI: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0042474</u>

Du G, Hu J, Huang H, Qin Y, Han X, Wu D, et al., Wang. 2012. Perfluorooctane sulfonate (PFOS) affects hormone receptor activity, steroidogenesis, and expression of endocrine-related genes in vitro and in vivo. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 32: 353-360. DOI: <u>10.1002/etc.2034</u>

Elumalai S, Karunakaran U, Won KC, Chung SM, Moon JS. 2023. Perfluorooctane sulfonate-induced oxidative stress contributes to pancreatic β -cell apoptosis by inhibiting cyclic adenosine monophosphate pathway: Prevention by pentoxifylline. Environmental Pollution 320: 120959. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.envpol.2022.120959</u>

Fenton SE, Ducatman A, Boobis A, DeWitt JC, Lau C, Ng C, Smith JS, Roberts SM. 2020. Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substance Toxicity and Human Health Review: Current State of Knowledge and Strategies for Informing Future Research. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 40: 606-630. DOI: <u>10.1002/etc.4890</u>

Fischer A, Schumacher N, Maier M, Sendtner M, Gessler M. 2004. The Notch target genes *Hey1* and *Hey2* are required for embryonic vascular development. Genes & Development 18: 901-911. DOI: <u>10.1101/gad.291004</u>

Forsthuber M, Widhalm R, Granitzer S, Kaiser AM, Moshammer H, Hengstschläger M, Dolznig H, Gundacker C. 2022. Perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOS) inhibits vessel formation in a human 3D co-culture angiogenesis model (NCFs/HUVECs). Environmental Pollution 293: 118543. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.envpol.2021.118543</u>

Gao Y, Li X, Guo LH. 2012. Assessment of Estrogenic Activity of Perfluoroalkyl Acids Based on Ligand-induced Conformation State of Human Estrogen Receptor. Environmental Science & Technology 47: 634-641. DOI: <u>10.1021/es304030x</u>

Gundacker C, Audouze K, Widhalm R, Granitzer S, Forsthuber M, Jornod F, et al., Bonefeld-Jørgensen. 2022. Reduced Birth Weight and Exposure to Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances: A Review of Possible Underlying Mechanisms Using the AOP-HelpFinder. Toxics 10: 684. DOI: <u>10.3390/toxics10110684</u>

Ingelido AM, Abballe A, Gemma S, Dellatte E, Iacovella N, De Angelis G, et al., De Felip. 2018. Biomonitoring of perfluorinated compounds in adults exposed to contaminated drinking water in the Veneto Region, Italy. Environment International 110: 149-159. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.envint.2017.10.026</u>

Jarvis AL, Justice JR, Elias MC, Schnitker B, Gallagher K. 2021. Perfluorooctane Sulfonate in US Ambient Surface Waters: A Review of Occurrence in Aquatic Environments and Comparison to Global Concentrations. Environ Toxicol Chem 40(9): 2425-2442. PubMed ID: <u>34187091</u>

Jian JM, Chen D, Han FJ, Guo Y, Zeng L, Lu X, Wang F. 2018. A short review on human exposure to and tissue distribution of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs). Science of The Total Environment 636: 1058-1069. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.380</u>

Kang H, Kim HS, Yoon Y, Lee J, Kho Y, Lee J, et al., Kim. 2021. Placental Transfer and Composition of Perfluoroalkyl Substances (PFASs): A Korean Birth Panel of Parent-Infant Triads. Toxics 9: 168. DOI: <u>10.3390/toxics9070168</u>



Khachigian LM. 2021. Early Growth Response-1, an Integrative Sensor in Cardiovascular and Inflammatory Disease. Journal of the American Heart Association 10: 10.1161/jaha.121.023539. DOI: <u>10.1161/jaha.121.023539</u>

Lee R, Margaritis M, M. Channon K, Antoniades C. 2012. Evaluating Oxidative Stress in Human Cardiovascular Disease: Methodological Aspects and Considerations. Current Medicinal Chemistry 19: 2504-2520. DOI: <u>10.2174/092986712800493057</u>

Loos R, Gawlik BM, Locoro G, Rimaviciute E, Contini S, Bidoglio G. 2009. EU-wide survey of polar organic persistent pollutants in European river waters. Environmental Pollution 157: 561-568. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.envpol.2008.09.020</u>

Mack JJ, Iruela-Arispe ML. 2018. NOTCH regulation of the endothelial cell phenotype. Current Opinion in Hematology 25: 212-218. DOI: <u>10.1097/moh.0000000000425</u>

Maddalon A, Pierzchalski A, Kretschmer T, Bauer M, Zenclussen AC, Marinovich M, Corsini E, Herberth G. 2023. Mixtures of per- and poly-fluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) reduce the in vitro activation of human T cells and basophils. Chemosphere 336: 139204. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.chemosphere.2023.139204</u>

Mamsen LS, Björvang RD, Mucs D, Vinnars MT, Papadogiannakis N, Lindh CH, Andersen CY, Damdimopoulou P. 2019. Concentrations of perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) in human embryonic and fetal organs from first, second, and third trimester pregnancies. Environment International 124: 482-492. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.envint.2019.01.010</u>

Marinello WP, Mohseni ZS, Cunningham SJ, Crute C, Huang R, Zhang JJ, Feng L. 2020. Perfluorobutane sulfonate exposure disrupted human placental cytotrophoblast cell proliferation and invasion involving in dysregulating preeclampsia related genes. The FASEB Journal 34: 14182-14199. DOI: <u>10.1096/fj.202000716rr</u>

Marin M, Annunziato KM, Tompach MC, Liang W, Zahn SM, Li S, et al., Timme-Laragy. 2024. Maternal PFOS exposure affects offspring development in Nrf2-dependent and independent ways in zebrafish (Danio rerio). Aquatic Toxicology 271: 106923. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.aquatox.2024.106923</u>

Midgett K, Peden-Adams MM, Gilkeson GS, Kamen DL. 2014. *In vitro* evaluation of the effects of perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS) and perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) on IL-2 production in human T-cells. Journal of Applied Toxicology 35: 459-465. DOI: <u>10.1002/jat.3037</u>

Osuchukwu OO, Reed DJ. 2024. Small for Gestational Age. StatPearls Publishing. PubMed ID: <u>33085394</u>

Otero-Sabio C, Giacomello M, Centelleghe C, Caicci F, Bonato M, Venerando A, et al., Peruffo. 2022. Cell cycle alterations due to perfluoroalkyl substances PFOS, PFOA, PFBS, PFBA and the new PFAS C6O4 on bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus) skin cell. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety 244: 113980. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.ecoenv.2022.113980</u>

Pierozan P, Kosnik M, Karlsson O. 2023. High-content analysis shows synergistic effects of low perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOS) and perfluorooctane sulfonic acid (PFOA) mixture concentrations on human breast epithelial cell carcinogenesis. Environment International 172: 107746. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.envint.2023.107746</u>

Poteser M, Hutter HP, Moshammer H, Weitensfelder L. 2020. Perfluoroctanoic acid (PFOA) enhances NOTCH-signaling in an angiogenesis model of placental trophoblast cells. International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health 229: 113566. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.ijheh.2020.113566</u>

Qian Y, Ducatman A, Ward R, Leonard S, Bukowski V, Lan Guo N, et al., Castranova. 2010. Perfluorooctane Sulfonate (PFOS) Induces Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS) Production in Human Microvascular Endothelial Cells: Role in Endothelial Permeability. Journal of Toxicology and Environmental Health, Part A 73: 819-836. DOI: <u>10.1080/15287391003689317</u>

Qu J, Han Y, Zhao Z, Wu Y, Lu Y, Chen G, et al., Wang. 2021. Perfluorooctane sulfonate interferes with non-genomic estrogen receptor signaling pathway, inhibits ERK1/2 activation and induces apoptosis in mouse spermatocyte-derived cells. Toxicology 460: 152871. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.tox.2021.152871</u>

Salvatore D, Mok K, Garrett KK, Poudrier G, Brown P, Birnbaum LS, et al., Cordner. 2022. Presumptive Contamination: A New Approach to PFAS Contamination Based on Likely Sources. Environmental Science & Technology Letters 9: 983-990. DOI: <u>10.1021/acs.estlett.2c00502</u>

Sonkar R, Kay MK, Choudhury M. 2019. PFOS Modulates Interactive Epigenetic Regulation in First-Trimester Human Trophoblast Cell Line HTR-8/SV_{neo}. Chemical Research in Toxicology 32: 2016-2027. DOI: <u>10.1021/acs.chemrestox.9b00198</u>

Sunderland EM, Hu XC, Dassuncao C, Tokranov AK, Wagner CC, Allen JG. 2018. A review of the pathways of human exposure to poly- and perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) and present understanding of health effects. Journal of Exposure

Science & Environmental Epidemiology 29: 131-147. DOI: 10.1038/s41370-018-0094-1

Wardinger JE, Ambati S. 2024. Placental Insufficiency. StatPearls Publishing. PubMed ID: 33085318

Watanabe Y, Seya D, Ihara D, Ishii S, Uemoto T, Kubo A, et al., Nakagawa. 2020. Importance of endothelial Hey1 expression for thoracic great vessel development and its distal enhancer for Notch-dependent endothelial transcription. Journal of Biological Chemistry 295: 17632-17645. DOI: <u>10.1074/jbc.ra120.015003</u>

Wielsøe M, Long M, Ghisari M, Bonefeld-Jørgensen EC. 2015. Perfluoroalkylated substances (PFAS) affect oxidative stress biomarkers in vitro. Chemosphere 129: 239-245. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.chemosphere.2014.10.014</u>

Xu C, Jiang ZY, Liu Q, Liu H, Gu A. 2017. Estrogen receptor beta mediates hepatotoxicity induced by perfluorooctane sulfonate in mouse. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 24: 13414-13423. DOI: <u>10.1007/s11356-017-8943-3</u>

Zarei MH, Hosseini Shirazi SF, Aghvami M, Pourahmad J. Perfluorooctanesulfonate (PFOS) Induces Apoptosis Signaling and Proteolysis in Human Lymphocytes through ROS Mediated Mitochondrial Dysfunction and Lysosomal Membrane Labialization. Iran J Pharm Res. 2018 Summer;17(3):995-1007. PMID: 30127822; PMCID: PMC6094418.

Zhang X, Lohmann R, Dassuncao C, Hu XC, Weber AK, Vecitis CD, Sunderland EM. 2016. Source attribution of poly- and perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) in surface waters from Rhode Island and the New York Metropolitan Area. Environ Sci Technol Lett 3(9): 316-321. PubMed ID: <u>28217711</u>

Zhao M, Yin N, Yang R, Li S, Zhang S, Faiola F. 2024. Understanding the effects of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances on early skin development: Role of ciliogenesis inhibition and altered microtubule dynamics. Science of The Total Environment 913: 169702. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.169702</u>

Funding: Research supported by New Hampshire- INBRE through an Institutional Development Award (IDeA), P20GM103506, from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the NIH

Author Contributions: Alycia Ashby: conceptualization, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, writing - review editing. Patrick Murphy: conceptualization, investigation, methodology, writing - original draft, formal analysis. James Jukosky: formal analysis, writing - review editing, data curation, visualization. Chery A. Whipple : conceptualization, funding acquisition, methodology, project administration, writing - review editing, supervision.

Reviewed By: Anonymous

History: Received August 8, 2024 **Revision Received** August 30, 2024 **Accepted** November 25, 2024 **Published Online** December 3, 2024 **Indexed** December 17, 2024

Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Ashby, A; Murphy, P; Jukosky, J; Whipple , CA (2024). The Effects of Perfluorooctanesulfonic acid (PFOS) on Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells (HUVECs) Proliferation and Gene Expression and its Implications on Fetal Development. microPublication Biology. <u>10.17912/micropub.biology.001318</u>