Improved RNA toehold switch sensitivity using the NanoLuc complementation reporter

Katherinne J Diaz¹, Julian Jarquin¹, Aleksandr Petrosyan¹, Melissa K Takahashi^{1§}

¹Biology Department, California State University, Northridge, Northridge, California, United States

[§]To whom correspondence should be addressed: melissa.takahashi@csun.edu

Abstract

RNA toehold switches are powerful tools that can be used as biosensors to detect nearly any RNA sequence. In the presence of a specific RNA trigger, the toehold switch allows translation of a reporter protein. Toehold switches expressed in cell-free expression systems have been used as biosensors for several viruses and bacterial RNAs. Here we explore the use of the NanoLuc complementation reporter NanoBiT and found that it provides improved sensitivity over sfGFP. This reporter can be applied broadly across other RNA toehold switch applications.





A) Schematic of RNA toehold switch mechanism. The toehold switch is constitutively transcribed in the cell-free reaction and controls translation of sfGFP. In the presence of the sRNA MicF, the reporter protein is translated. B) Average GFP production

rate from CFE reactions with varying concentrations of MicF RNA taken at 25 minutes. Error bars represent standard deviation of three replicates depicted with open circles. C) Schematic of toehold switch controlling the translation of the complementation peptide. A separate DNA construct constitutively expresses the NanoLuc core protein. In the presence of the sRNA MicF, the complementation peptide is translated and is allowed to bind to the core protein forming the NanoBiT reporter. D) Average luminescence production rate from CFE reactions with varying concentrations of MicF RNA taken at 17.5 minutes. Error bars represent standard deviation of four replicates depicted with open circles. Replicates were collected from two independent experiments. T-tests were performed between adjacent concentrations in B and D. A Bonferroni correction was applied for multiple comparisons (* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.001).

Description

RNA toehold switches are synthetic riboregulators that can be designed to detect nearly any RNA sequence (Green et al., 2014). When transcribed, the toehold switch folds into a secondary structure that prevents translation of the downstream mRNA by occluding the ribosome binding site (RBS) and start codon. Binding of a "trigger" RNA to the toehold region of the switch unwinds the secondary structure, allowing translation of the downstream gene. These riboregulators are powerful tools especially when combined with a cell-free expression (CFE) system which creates an *in vitro* biosensor. Toehold switch biosensors have been developed for several viruses including Ebola (Pardee et al., 2014), Zika (Pardee et al., 2016), Norovirus (Ma et al., 2018), and SARS-CoV2 (Hunt et al., 2022; Park & Lee, 2021). A limitation of the toehold switch is sensitivity; thus it is often paired with an isothermal amplification method to detect clinically relevant concentrations of RNA (Ma et al., 2018; Pardee et al., 2016; Park & Lee, 2021). Another potential application for toehold switches is in detection of shorter RNAs such as microRNAs (miRNA) (McSweeney et al., 2023) and bacterial small RNAs (sRNA) (Green et al., 2014). Due to the short length of these RNAs it would be preferable to directly detect the RNAs with the toehold switch without the need for amplification. To address this, we explored using different reporter proteins to improve sensitivity.

We designed a toehold switch to detect the sRNA MicF (see Methods). The two reporter proteins tested were sfGFP (Pédelacq et al., 2006) and the NanoLuc complementation reporter NanoBiT (Dixon et al., 2016). For the complementation reporter, translation of the 11 amino acid peptide was controlled by the toehold switch while the core protein was constitutively expressed in the CFE reaction. Toehold switches were tested by providing varying concentrations of *in vitro* transcribed MicF RNA in each reaction. As seen in Figure 1, the 7.8 and 3.9 nM RNA concentrations were not statistically different using the sfGFP reporter. Whereas the 0.25 and 0.13 nM RNA concentrations were distinguishable using the NanoBiT reporter. Further testing is required to determine the limit of detection using these reporters. However, initial testing suggests that the NanoBiT reporter does provide improved sensitivity over sfGFP and could have widespread use in toehold switch biosensor applications.

Methods

RNA toehold switch design and cloning

Toehold switch sensors were designed with NUPACK (Zadeh et al., 2011) using the series B toehold switch design from Pardee et al. (Pardee et al., 2016). NUPACK code can be found in Wu et al. (Wu et al., 2022). Toehold switch sensors were cloned into plasmids with either superfolder green fluorescent protein (sfGFP) (Pédelacq et al., 2006) or NanoBiT (Dixon et al., 2016) reporters using PCR amplification and blunt-end ligation. Plasmids used in cell-free reactions were purified using the QIAfilter Plasmid Midi Kit (Qiagen 12243) followed by isopropanol precipitation and eluted with nuclease-free water. Plasmids can be found at Addgene (Table 1). Key sequences can be found in Table 2.

In vitro RNA synthesis

MicF RNA was in vitro transcribed using the HiScribe T7 Quick High Yield RNA Synthesis Kit (New England Biolabs E2050S) according to manufacturer's instructions. RNA was purified using the RNA Clean and Concentrator-25 Kit (Zymo Research R1017) and eluted with nuclease-free water.

Cell-free extract and buffer preparation

Cell extract was prepared according to Didovyk et al. (Didovyk et al., 2017) with the following modifications. A single colony of *E. coli* BL21 Star (ThermoFisher Scientific C601003) transformed with the pAD-LyseR plasmid (Didovyk et al., 2017) was grown overnight at 37°C while shaking in lysogeny broth (LB) with carbenicillin (100 μ g/ml). The production culture was grown in 2xYTPG medium (400 μ l of overnight culture added to 400 ml of 2xYTPG) at 37°C until an OD₆₀₀ of 1.5 was reached. Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 1800g for 15 min at room temperature. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was resuspended with 45 ml of cold S30A buffer. The resuspended cells were centrifuged again in a pre-weighed conical tube at the same settings as above. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was weighed. The pellet was

resuspended in two volumes relative to pellet weight (e.g. 2.6 ml for a 1.3 g pellet) of cold S30A buffer supplemented with 2 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) and frozen at -80°C. The frozen pellet was thawed at room temperature in a water bath then vortexed for two to three minutes. The thawed pellet was then incubated while shaking at 37°C for 45 minutes. Vortexing and incubation was repeated. The extract was distributed into microcentrifuge tubes rated for 30,000g and centrifuged at 30,000g for 45 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was collected and dialyzed in S30B buffer supplemented with 1 mM DTT. Dialysis was performed according to Slide-a-lyzer (Thermo Scientific 66380) instructions for 3 hours at 4°C. The dialyzed extract was centrifuged at 21,000g for 5 minutes to remove any remaining cell debris. Aliquots (35 ul each) of the extract were frozen at -80C.

The reaction buffer and energy mix was prepared according to Sun et al. (Sun et al., 2013). Additional magnesium glutamate was optimized per extract batch as described previously (Didovyk et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2013). A typical 10 μ l reaction included 4 μ l of extract, 0.71 μ l of 14x energy mix, 3.5 μ l of buffer, and 0.25 μ l of SUPERase-In RNase inhibitor (ThermoFisher Scientific AM2694). The remainder of the volume was used to add the desired DNA or RNA constructs. The buffer volume would be adjusted for the optimized magnesium glutamate concentration. Reactions using the NanoBiT reporter utilized the Nano-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega N1120) according to the manufacturer recommended concentrations.

2xYTP: 18.6 g 2YT (BD 244020), 1.8 g potassium phosphate monobasic (F.W. 136.09), 4.2 g potassium phosphate dibasic (F.W. 174.18), fill to 600 ml with DI water, autoclave sterilize. 2xYTPG: Add 7.2 g D-glucose (F.W. 180.16) to 400 ml of 2xYTP, filter sterilize. S30A: 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.7), 60 mM potassium glutamate, 14 mM magnesium glutamate, adjust to pH 7.7. S30B: 60 mM potassium glutamate, 14 mM magnesium glutamate, use 2 M Tris base to adjust pH to 8.2.

Cell-free experiment and data analysis

<u>sfGFP reporter</u>: 1 nM of toehold switch sensor plasmid was added to each reaction along with the MicF RNA concentration listed in the figure. Cell-free extract, reaction buffer, energy mix, and RNase inhibitor were mixed together and incubated at 37°C for 20 minutes. Upon incubation the cell-free reaction mixture was added to PCR tubes containing the desired DNA and RNA constructs on ice. Reactions were vortexed and then 8.5 µl were distributed to wells on a 384-well microtiter plate (Thermo Scientific 242764) in triplicate. The microtiter plate was sealed with a clear seal (VWR 60941-078) and incubated at 37°C on a Biotek Synergy H1m microtiter plate reader for 2 hours. Fluorescence measurements were taken every 5 minutes with excitation 485 nm and emission 520 nm. The starting fluorescence for each reaction was adjusted to zero by subtracting the time zero fluorescence from each of the time points. The average fluorescence from the control wells without any DNA or RNA was subtracted from each reaction condition. A fluorescence production rate was calculated at each time point: ($t_2 - t_1$)/5. A moving average of the production rate was calculated across three time points. The average of the moving average at 25 minutes was reported in the figure. Where 25 minutes corresponds to t_1 .

<u>NanoBiT reporter:</u> 1 nM of the NanoLuc core plasmid and 0.25 nM of the toehold switch sensor plasmid was added to each reaction along with the MicF RNA concentration listed in the figure. Cell-free extract, reaction buffer, energy mix, and RNase inhibitor were mixed together and incubated at 37°C for 20 minutes. Upon incubation the cell-free reaction mixture was placed on ice and 0.2 μ l of Nano-Glo reagent was added per 10 μ l of reaction volume. The final mixture was added to PCR tubes containing the desired DNA and RNA constructs on ice. Reactions were vortexed and then 9 μ l were distributed to wells on a 384-well microtiter plate. The microtiter plate was sealed with a clear seal and incubated at 37°C on a Biotek Synergy H1m microtiter plate reader for 1 hour. Luminescence measurements were taken every 2.5 minutes. The average luminescence from the control wells without any DNA or RNA was subtracted from each reaction condition. A luminescence production rate was calculated at each time point: ($t_2 - t_1$)/2.5. A moving average of the production rate was calculated across three time points. The average of the moving average at 17.5 minutes was reported in the figure. Where 17.5 minutes corresponds to t_1 .

Reagents

Table 1. Plasmids used in this study.

Name	Description	Origin/resistance	Addgene ID
MKT071	J23119-MicF toehold switch-linker-sfGFP	ColE1/Kan	231995
MKT073	J23119-RBS-Nluc-core	ColE1/Kan	231996



MKT074	J23119-MicF toehold switch-linker-P86 Nluc comp peptide	ColE1/Kan	231997
--------	---	-----------	--------

Table 2. Key DNA sequences

Name	Sequence (5'-to-3')
MicF toehold switch	GTGAATGACGGTAATAAATAAAGTTAATGATGATAGCG GACTTTAGAACAGAGGAGATAAAGATGGCTATCATCATGGGAGGT TCTGGAGGT
P86 NanoLuc complementation peptide	GTCAGCGGATGGCGACTCTTCAAGAAGATCAGC
	GTGTTTACCCTGGAAGATTTTGTGGGCGATTGGGAACA GACCGCGGCGTATAACCTGGATCAGGTGCTGGAACAGGGCGGCG
	CCGATTCAGCGCATTGTGCGCAGCGGCGAAAACGCGCTGAAAAT
11S NanoLuc core protein	TGATATTCATGTGATTATTCCGTATGAAGGCCTGAGCGCGGATCAGATGGCGCAGATT GAAGAAGTGTTTAAAGTGGTGTATCC
	GGTGGATGATCATCATTTTAAAGTGATTCTGCCGTATGGCACCCTGGTGATTGAT
	GGCCGCCGTATGAAGGCATTGCGGTGTTTGATGGCAA AAAAATTACCGTGACCGGCACCCTGTGGAACGGCAACAAAATTAT
	TGATGAACGCCTGATTACCCCGGATGGCAGCATGCTGTTTCGCGTGACCATTAACAGC

References

Didovyk A, Tonooka T, Tsimring L, Hasty J. 2017. Rapid and Scalable Preparation of Bacterial Lysates for Cell-Free Gene Expression. ACS Synthetic Biology 6: 2198-2208. DOI: <u>10.1021/acssynbio.7b00253</u>

Dixon AS, Schwinn MK, Hall MP, Zimmerman K, Otto P, Lubben TH, et al., Wood. 2015. NanoLuc Complementation Reporter Optimized for Accurate Measurement of Protein Interactions in Cells. ACS Chemical Biology 11: 400-408. DOI: 10.1021/acschembio.5b00753

Green AA, Silver PA, Collins JJ, Yin P. 2014. Toehold Switches: De-Novo-Designed Regulators of Gene Expression. Cell 159: 925-939. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.cell.2014.10.002</u>

Hunt JP, Zhao EL, Free TJ, Soltani M, Warr CA, Benedict AB, et al., Bundy. 2022. Towards detection of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in human saliva: A paper-based cell-free toehold switch biosensor with a visual bioluminescent output. New Biotechnology 66: 53-60. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.nbt.2021.09.002</u>

Ma D, Shen L, Wu K, Diehnelt CW, Green AA. 2018. Low-cost detection of norovirus using paper-based cell-free systems and synbody-based viral enrichment. Synthetic Biology 3: 10.1093/synbio/ysy018. DOI: <u>10.1093/synbio/ysy018</u>

McSweeney MA, Zhang Y, Styczynski MP. 2023. Short Activators and Repressors of RNA Toehold Switches. ACS Synthetic Biology 12: 681-688. DOI: <u>10.1021/acssynbio.2c00641</u>

Pardee K, Green AA, Ferrante T, Cameron DE, DaleyKeyser A, Yin P, Collins JJ. 2014. Paper-Based Synthetic Gene Networks. Cell 159: 940-954. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.cell.2014.10.004</u>

Pardee K, Green AA, Takahashi MK, Braff D, Lambert G, Lee JW, et al., Collins. 2016. Rapid, Low-Cost Detection of Zika Virus Using Programmable Biomolecular Components. Cell 165: 1255-1266. DOI: <u>10.1016/j.cell.2016.04.059</u>

Park S, Lee JW. 2021. Detection of Coronaviruses Using RNA Toehold Switch Sensors. International Journal of Molecular Sciences 22: 1772. DOI: <u>10.3390/ijms22041772</u>



Pédelacq JD, Cabantous Sp, Tran T, Terwilliger TC, Waldo GS. 2005. Engineering and characterization of a superfolder green fluorescent protein. Nature Biotechnology 24: 79-88. DOI: <u>10.1038/nbt1172</u>

Sun ZZ, Hayes CA, Shin J, Caschera F, Murray RM, Noireaux V. 2013. Protocols for Implementing an Escherichia coli Based TX-TL Cell-Free Expression System for Synthetic Biology. Journal of Visualized Experiments : 10.3791/50762. DOI: <u>10.3791/50762</u>

Wu K, Yan Z, Green AA. 2022. Computational Design of RNA Toehold-Mediated Translation Activators. Methods in Molecular Biology, Riboregulator Design and Analysis : 33-47. DOI: <u>10.1007/978-1-0716-2421-0_2</u>

Zadeh JN, Wolfe BR, Pierce NA. 2010. Nucleic acid sequence design via efficient ensemble defect optimization. Journal of Computational Chemistry 32: 439-452. DOI: <u>10.1002/jcc.21633</u>

Funding: Research reported in this work was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences of the National Institutes of Health under award number SC2GM136500. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Author Contributions: Katherinne J Diaz: data curation, formal analysis, visualization. Julian Jarquin: data curation, formal analysis, visualization. Aleksandr Petrosyan: data curation, formal analysis, visualization. Melissa K Takahashi: conceptualization, funding acquisition, writing - original draft, visualization.

Reviewed By: Anonymous

History: Received August 25, 2024 Revision Received October 2, 2024 Accepted December 23, 2024 Published Online January 2, 2025 Indexed January 16, 2025

Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Citation: Diaz, KJ; Jarquin, J; Petrosyan, A; Takahashi, MK (2025). Improved RNA toehold switch sensitivity using the NanoLuc complementation reporter. microPublication Biology. <u>10.17912/micropub.biology.001334</u>