# Cold-induced meiotic nondisjunction in D. melanogaster

Lewis I. Held, Jr.<sup>1§</sup>, Surya J. Banerjee<sup>1</sup>, Souvik Roy<sup>1</sup>, Hariz A. Nawaz<sup>1</sup>, Jameson R. Hanes<sup>1</sup>, Gal Ritte<sup>1</sup>, Peyton N. Ansley<sup>1</sup>, Lindsey K. McCurry<sup>1</sup>, Karima H. Assal<sup>1</sup>, Aubriana M. Benson<sup>1</sup>, Ria Umbrani<sup>1</sup>, Owen F. Vajnar<sup>1</sup>, Kambre A. Huddleston<sup>1</sup>, Lily M. Russell<sup>1</sup>, Katharine A. Green<sup>1</sup>, Natasha N. Guhl<sup>1</sup>, Isaac B. Ireland<sup>1</sup>, Estefania Ireland<sup>1</sup>, Luis A. Rocha<sup>1</sup>, Jamie F. Meuth<sup>1</sup>, Sam P. Davis<sup>1</sup>, Cassandra G. O'pry<sup>1</sup>, Jason J. Shin<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept. of Biological Sciences, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, United States

<sup>§</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed: tenureop@gmail.com

# Abstract

Virgin *D. melanogaster* females were kept at near-freezing temperatures for up to one week, whereupon they were mated. Daily collections of offspring showed evidence of spindle malfunction in meiosis, but not in earlier mitoses, despite our usage of a colder temperature  $(5.5^{\circ}C)$  than previous studies  $(10^{\circ}C)$ . The greater sensitivity of meiosis than mitosis is baffling, considering that the opposite is true for males, where primary spermatocytes are most vulnerable.



Figure 1. Dominant mutations that were used to mark 3<sup>rd</sup> chromosome homologs in this study:

*Clockwise from upper left:* wild-type (++) Oregon R male (long, thin bristles on flank and back are circled), <u>*Dr*</u> male (slit-shaped eye), <u>*Sb*</u> male (truncated bristles), and *Dr/Sb* male-like intersex. The 3n intersex (lower left) was one of the 8 *Dr/Sb*  $F_1$  offspring from cold-treated *Dr/Sb* mothers in vial 7D (see Table 2). It has a larger thorax, and its <u>*Sb*</u> bristles are longer than those of the 2n <u>*Sb*</u> male (lower right), due to dose-dependence of the <u>*Sb*</u> phenotype (Lindsley and Zimm, 1992). All flies were photographed at the same magnification.

# Description

Temperatures near 0°C inhibit polymerization of tubulin into microtubules (Inoué et al., 1974; Li and Moore, 2020), causing spindle malfunction (Steven et al., 2016) and chromosome nondisjunction (Woodruff and Thompson, 2002). In 1970,

Tokunaga showed that when virgin *D. melanogaster* females are kept at 10°C for a week and then mated, ~5% of eggs laid on the first day become triploid (3n), implying that the oocytes had doubled in ploidy (1n to 2n) before fertilization (Tokunaga, 1970b). However, 3n flies were only rarely recovered in later broods. Why? We sought to investigate this (and other) riddles by repeating and modifying her protocol.

**Riddle #1: Why are triploid offspring found only in the first brood?** Each of the two ovaries of a *D. melanogaster* female contains 15-20 tubular "ovarioles" (Hinnant et al., 2020) that function as "assembly lines" for egg production. At the apex of each such tube are 2-3 germline stem cells (GSCs) that divide asymmetrically. Their mitoses yield one daughter that remains a GSC and another that undergoes 4 more mitoses to form 16 cystocytes, one of which becomes the egg (Kirilly and Xie, 2007). The cysts of cystocytes ratchet down the ovariole as they mature until they reach the oviduct, where the egg is later released (Bloch Qazi et al., 2003). GSCs divide every 12-14 hours at 25°C (Hinnant et al., 2020). Because virgins arrest their eggs at metaphase of Meiosis I (Costa and Ohkura, 2019), the fact that ploidy only increases in post-mating Brood #1 argues that *meiotic* spindles are vulnerable to cold, but *mitotic* spindles (of preceding cystocytes) are not. Indeed, Tokunaga (1970b) found that 10°C only caused nondisjunction at Meiosis I, not at Meiosis II. *A priori*, one might have assumed that all spindles behave alike, but in *D. melanogaster*, meiotic spindles differ from mitotic spindles in architecture (Riparbelli and Callani, 2005), polarity (Liang et al., 2009), and other key features (Ohkura, 2015), and mitotic spindles differ *inter se* in the construction devices they employ (Duncan and Wakefield, 2011).

**Riddle #2:** Are germline stem cells as insensitive to cold as cystocytes? It takes 9-11 days from the GSC stage to a mature egg (Wieschaus and Szabad, 1979), but Tokunaga did not examine broods beyond 11 days post-mating. Therefore, her data are moot as to whether GSCs are sensitive to cold, especially since cold shock might delay mitoses. If we could double the ploidy of GSCs (2n to 4n), then each such 4n GSC would yield a steady stream of 4n cystocytes that become 3n offspring in brood after brood, *ad infinitum*.

**Riddle #3: Is a full week required for cold treatment to affect spindles?** GSCs divide every 12-14 hours at 25°C (Hinnant et al., 2020), so why should a full week of cold exposure be needed to affect their spindles, assuming they are sensitive at all? Likewise, there is no obvious reason why a shorter treatment would not affect meiosis. Indeed, Tokunaga (1970a) showed that 3 days at 10°C causes 0.59% meiotic nondisjunction (vs. 5.14% for 7 days of exposure and 0.03% for controls). Hence, we decided to try shorter durations as well.

It seemed possible that Tokunaga's failure to affect the ploidy of cystocytes was due to her usage of 10°C instead of a lower (more effective?) temperature. Flies can withstand 4-5°C for at least 4 days (Woodruff and Thompson, 2002), so we decided to try 5.5°C instead of 10°C. Moreover, we examined  $F_1$  broods for 21 days (10 days longer than Tokunaga's span), which let us assess whether this stronger cold treatment can affect GSCs.

To detect ploidy-doubling events, we relied on an approach that one of us used long ago to look for  $3n F_1$  from colcemidtreated fruit flies (Held, 1982). In that study, 2<sup>nd</sup> chromosomes were marked with dominant mutations ( $\underline{vg}^U$  and Gla), one of which (Gla) was embedded in an inversion to prevent recovery of cross-overs. Here, the dominants are on 3<sup>rd</sup> chromosomes instead: *Drop* ( $\underline{Dr}$ ) on one, and *Stubble* ( $\underline{Sb}$ ) on the other (*TM3* balancer) homolog (Lindsley and Zimm, 1992).  $\underline{Dr}$  shrinks eyes, while  $\underline{Sb}$  shortens bristles (Fig. 1). Both are 100% penetrant and 100% expressed, thus affording 100% certainty in detecting 3n offspring that arise from retention of both markers in a single egg. Any Dr/Sb offspring must be triploids because aneuploidy for any long chromosome (2 or 3) by itself (isolated trisomy) is lethal (Ashburner, 1989).

We exposed 4 cohorts of virgin  $Dr/\underline{Sb}$  females (5 vials/cohort; 50 flies/vial) to 5.5°C for 1, 2, 4, or 7 days, while controls were kept at 25°C. After exposure, the Dr/Sb virgins were crossed with wild-type Oregon R males (25/vial) and transferred to fresh vials at 24 h intervals. All egg batches were allowed to develop fully, whereupon the F<sub>1</sub> adults were preserved in 70% ethanol and screened for expression of both Dr and  $\underline{Sb}$ . As explained above, Dr/Sb offspring must be 3n, and their frequency should reflect the effectiveness of 5.5°C at blocking spindles. Table 1 tallies the offspring in Broods #1 and #2 for all 5 cohorts.

Cold duration	Brood #	Total F <sub>1</sub>	<u>Dr</u> only	<u>Sb</u> only	Dr/Sb*	% Dr/Sb
0 days (control)	1	3,522	1,872	1,650	0	0
	2	3,615	1,736	1,879	0	0
1 day	1	3,839	2,087	1,752	3	0.1%

Table 1. Initial F<sub>1</sub> broods after 0, 1, 2, 4, or 7 days of maternal 5.5°C exposure.

n	2	3,511	2,054	1,457	0	0
2 days**	1	369	219	150	2	0.5%
	2	719	377	342	0	0
4 days	1	2,140	1,223	917	23	1.1%
n	2	3,031	1,741	1,290	0	0
7 days***	1	595	328	267	16	2.7%
n	2	3,310	1,887	1,423	0	0

\**Dr/Sb*  $F_1$  included 24 females (3X/3A?) and 20 male-like intersexes (2X1Y/3A?), where "3A" = 3 sets of autosomes. *Dr/Sb*  $F_1$  (3n) females are fertile.

\*\*This cohort spent the first of the 2 days at 1°C due to an incubator malfunction.

Hence, 56% (140/250) of the virgins died, drastically reducing the brood sizes.

\*\*\*The reduced brood sizes for this cohort were not due to maternal mortality.

In agreement with Tokunaga (1970b), only Brood #1 yielded 3n  $F_1$ , with Brood #2 dropping to zero in every cohort. The frequency of 3n  $F_1$  increased with duration of exposure, reaching its peak in the 7-day cohort. While that rate (2.7%) was lower than Tokunaga's 5%, the 5 vials varied from 0.8% (1/123) for vial 7B to 5.8% (8/139) for vial 7D. In order to maximize our chance of finding 3n  $F_1$  from GSCs, we inspected 3 weeks of broods from vial 7D (Table 2).

Table 2. Daily batches of F	offspring from vial 7D	(exposed to 5.5°C for 7 days).
2		

Stage affected	Brood #	Total F <sub>1</sub>	<u>Dr</u> only	<u>Sb</u> only	Dr/Sb	% Dr/Sb
Meiosis	1	139	72	67	8*	5.8%
Cystocytes	2	536	279	257	0	0
	3	806	445	361	0	0
	4	666	337	329	0	0
	5	541	239	302	0	0
	6	609	341	268	0	0
	7	654	316	338	0	0
	8	673	350	323	0	0
" or GSCs?	9	697	320	377	0	0
" or GSCs?	10	572	289	283	0	0

" or GSCs? GSCs 

\* $Dr/Sb F_1$  included 3 females (3X/3A?) and 5 male-like intersexes (2X1Y/3A?), where "3A" = 3 sets of autosomes.

We had thought we might impact the mitotic spindles of cystocysts and/or GSCs by using a lower temperature than Tokunaga (5.5°C vs. 10°C), but the absence of 3n  $F_1$  in Broods #2-21 indicates that this did not happen. Thus, the answer to Riddle #2 is yes, and to Riddle #3 is no, while Riddle #1 remains unsolved.

Why should mitotic spindles be impervious to cold? Microtubules can be either cold-labile or cold-stable, even in different tissues of the same animal (Ochoa et al., 2011), and key proteins can protect cold-stable microtubules down to 4°C (Delphin et al., 2012). Moreover, *D. melanogaster* expresses 4 *alpha*-tubulin isoforms and 4 *beta* ones (Fyrberg and Goldstein, 1990), and they may react differently to cold. Indeed, one *alpha* (67C) is found mainly in the cystocyte lineage, so the cold immunity of cystocytes and GSCs might lie in different tolerances of their tubulin monomers (Myachina et al., 2017). In males, one of the *betas* is used uniquely in testes, which may explain why chilling shows nondisjunction in later broods for males than females —namely in Broods #7-8, which correspond to the primary spermatocyte stage (Tokunaga, 1971).

The lingering mystery from our study is an evolutionary one. It makes sense that ectotherms like flies evolved protective devices for microtubules that enhance their survival in winter, but why should certain spindles, like the one for Meiosis I, have been left "out in the cold," so to speak, to fend for themselves, given that errors can lead to maladapted aneuploids? The uniqueness of female meiosis may conceivably be related to the ability of its products (egg nucleus + polar bodies) to fuse during parthenogenesis to form 2n, 3n, or even 4n nuclei (Sperling et al., 2023).

# Methods

2/3/2025 - Open Access

Our protocol was as follows: (1) raise virgin Dr/Sb flies at 25°C, (2) expose 250 virgin females (50/vial) to 5.5°C for varying lengths of time, (3) return them to 25°C, (4) mate them with wild-type Oregon R males (25/vial), (5) transfer the parents to fresh vials daily, and (6) screen each brood for the presence of  $F_1$  expressing both Dr and <u>Sb</u>. The frequency of Dr/Sb (3n) offspring/brood gives the frequency of ploidy doubling. Control females (250 Dr/Sb virgins @ 50/vial) were kept at 25°C, mated with Oregon R males, and transferred to fresh vials daily. To ensure virginity of Dr/Sb mothers we sexed individuals from our Dr/Sb stock at the pharate adult stage and placed female pupae in food vials, where they later eclosed. We kept all cohorts at 25°C for 3 days to "fatten up" before transferring them to our cold incubator (experimental series) or mating with wild-type males (controls). Food vials contained blue *Drosophila* Instant Medium, Plain Formula 4-24 (Carolina Biological Supply), plus a garnish of Fleischmann's live dry yeast that was activated by wetting. Several drops of water had to be added to the instant food daily to prevent parents from dying of thirst.

### Reagents

Our *Dr/Sb* stock was constructed by crossing virgin females from a multiply-balanced starter stock ( $y_1^{1} w^1$ ;  $wg^{Sp-1}/CyO$ ;  $Dr^1/TM3$ ,  $Sb^1$ ) that was obtained from the Bloomington Stock Center (#59967) with wild-type (Oregon R) males. We then purged the subsequent  $F_1$ - $F_3$  generations of any unneeded mutations (i.e.,  $y^1$ ,  $w^1$ ;  $wg^{Sp-1}$ , and *CyO*), in order to maximize fecundity, since the starter stock was poorly fertile; the final stock was highly fertile. To ensure temperature constancy, we used Model 307 Fisher incubators, with pre-calibrated glass thermometers whose sensor bulbs were placed in the vial racks themselves, so as to provide accurate temperature readings from the most proximal possible locations.

**Acknowledgements:** One of us (LIH) learned fly genetics from Dr. Tokunaga when he was a graduate student at the University of California, Berkeley. Chiyo, as she liked to be called, had been trained by the great Curt Stern. Her 1970 papers, which launched the present investigation, epitomize her style: meticulous collection of data, humility in admitting ambiguities, and a knack for extracting clues. We needed a small army of undergraduate coworkers to follow the trail that she had pioneered. In channeling her spirit, we hope to have honored her legacy.

## References

Ashburner M. 1989. Drosophila: A Laboratory Handbook. CSH Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.

Bloch Qazi MC, Heifetz Y, Wolfner MF. 2003. The developments between gametogenesis and fertilization: ovulation and female sperm storage in Drosophila melanogaster. Dev Biol 256(2): 195-211. PubMed ID: <u>12679097</u>

Costa MFA, Ohkura H. 2019. The molecular architecture of the meiotic spindle is remodeled during metaphase arrest in oocytes. J Cell Biol 218(9): 2854-2864. PubMed ID: <u>31278080</u>

Delphin C, Bouvier D, Seggio M, Couriol E, Saoudi Y, Denarier E, et al., Andrieux A. 2012. MAP6-F is a temperature sensor that directly binds to and protects microtubules from cold-induced depolymerization. J Biol Chem 287(42): 35127-35138. PubMed ID: <u>22904321</u>

Duncan T, Wakefield JG. 2011. 50 ways to build a spindle: the complexity of microtubule generation during mitosis. Chromosome Res 19(3): 321-33. PubMed ID: <u>21484448</u>

Fyrberg EA, Goldstein LS. 1990. The Drosophila cytoskeleton. Annu Rev Cell Biol 6: 559-96. PubMed ID: 2275822

Held LI Jr. 1982. Polyploidy and aneuploidy induced by colcemid in Drosophila melanogaster. Mutat Res 94(1): 87-101. PubMed ID: <u>6808392</u>

Hinnant TD, Merkle JA, Ables ET. 2020. Coordinating Proliferation, Polarity, and Cell Fate in the Drosophila Female Germline. Front Cell Dev Biol 8: 19. PubMed ID: <u>32117961</u>

Kirilly D, Xie T. 2007. The Drosophila ovary: an active stem cell community. Cell Res 17(1): 15-25. PubMed ID: 17199109

Li G, Moore JK. 2020. Microtubule dynamics at low temperature: evidence that tubulin recycling limits assembly. Mol Biol Cell 31(11): 1154-1166. PubMed ID: <u>32213119</u>

Liang ZY, Hallen MA, Endow SA. 2009. Mature Drosophila meiosis I spindles comprise microtubules of mixed polarity. Curr Biol 19(2): 163-8. PubMed ID: <u>19167226</u>

Lindsley DL, Zimm GG. 1992. The Genome of Drosophila melanogaster. Acad Pr, NY.

Myachina F, Bosshardt F, Bischof J, Kirschmann M, Lehner CF. 2017. Drosophila β-Tubulin 97EF is upregulated at low temperature and stabilizes microtubules. Development 144(24): 4573-4587. PubMed ID: <u>29084803</u>

Ochoa CD, Stevens T, Balczon R. 2011. Cold exposure reveals two populations of microtubules in pulmonary endothelia. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol 300(1): L132-8. PubMed ID: <u>20971804</u>

Ohkura H. 2015. Meiosis: an overview of key differences from mitosis. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 7(5). PubMed ID: <u>25605710</u>

Riparbelli MG, Callaini G. 2005. The meiotic spindle of the Drosophila oocyte: the role of centrosomin and the central aster. J Cell Sci 118(Pt 13): 2827-36. PubMed ID: <u>15976443</u>

Sperling AL, Fabian DK, Garrison E, Glover DM. 2023. A genetic basis for facultative parthenogenesis in Drosophila. Curr Biol 33(17): 3545-3560.e13. PubMed ID: <u>37516115</u>

Steven AC, Baumeister W, Johnson LN, Perham RN. 2016. Molecular Biology of Assemblies and Machines. Routledge, NY.

Tokunaga C. 1970. The effects of low temperature and aging on nondisjunction in Drosophila. Genetics 65(1): 75-94. PubMed ID: <u>5479391</u>

Tokunaga C. 1970. Aspects of low-temperature-induced meiotic nondisjunction in Drosophila females. Genetics 66(4): 653-61. PubMed ID: <u>5512767</u>

Tokunaga C. 1971. The effects of temperature and aging of Drosophila males on the frequencies of XXY and XO progeny. Mutat Res 13(2): 155-61. PubMed ID: <u>5003041</u>

Wieschaus E, Szabad J. 1979. The development and function of the female germ line in Drosophila melanogaster: a cell lineage study. Dev Biol 68(1): 29-46. PubMed ID: <u>108155</u>

Woodruff RC, Thompson JN Jr. 2002. Use of *Drosophila melanogaster* zeste screen to identify aneuploidy induced by cold treatment. Dros Inf Serv 85: 149-151.

Funding: Funding was provided by TTU's TRUE Scholars Program.

Author Contributions: Lewis I. Held, Jr.: conceptualization, data curation, project administration, writing - original draft. Surya J. Banerjee: formal analysis, methodology, writing - review editing. Souvik Roy: data curation, investigation. Hariz A. Nawaz: investigation. Jameson R. Hanes: investigation. Gal Ritte: investigation. Peyton N. Ansley: investigation. Lindsey K. McCurry: investigation. Karima H. Assal: investigation. Aubriana M. Benson: investigation. Ria Umbrani: investigation. Owen F. Vajnar: investigation. Kambre A. Huddleston: investigation. Lily M. Russell: investigation. Katharine A. Green: investigation. Natasha N. Guhl: investigation. Isaac B. Ireland: investigation. Estefania Ireland: investigation. Luis A. Rocha: investigation. Jamie F. Meuth: investigation. Sam P. Davis: investigation. Cassandra G. O'pry: investigation. Jason J. Shin: writing - review editing.

Reviewed By: Anonymous

Nomenclature Validated By: FlyBase Curators

**History: Received** November 28, 2024 **Revision Received** January 24, 2025 **Accepted** February 3, 2025 **Published Online** February 3, 2025

**Copyright:** © 2025 by the authors. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

**Citation:** Held, Jr. LI, Banerjee SJ, Roy S, Nawaz HA, Hanes JR, Ritte G, et al., Shin JJ. 2025. Cold-induced meiotic nondisjunction in *D. melanogaster*. microPublication Biology. <u>10.17912/micropub.biology.001439</u>